

## **Reflective Summary**

The purpose of conducting this interview was to identify the challenges that legal professionals and attorneys face when it comes to ethical decision-making. For this task, I interviewed the lady who is a practicing attorney in Florida and has worked under the supervision of the Attorney General as well. She has diverse professional experience with specific interests in child development, teaching law, child abuse cases, etc. The main agenda of this interview was to identify the conflicting nature of legal and ethical parameters when it comes to handling cases of diverse backgrounds.

Throughout the interview, the interviewee was very detailed in explaining her academic and professional struggles, developments, milestones, and agendas. However, when she was inquired about any exceptional situation during her legal career, she became very concerned and gave a detailed response to her *child abuse* case.

Her responses to the questions regarding morality, ethics, and law provoked me to think about the correlation between morality and law, and how does it affect the credibility and persuasiveness of the case. Her discussion helped us understand that the law is a bunch of rules and standards made and upheld by the state though morals are an allowance of faithbased expectations, qualities, and standards and conduct guidelines that are authorized and made by society. Legal and moral guidelines can be disconnected with the previous being made by the legislative organization of parliament; though the last has advanced with and through society and are the principles which society overall acknowledges and advances. A few laws reflect most of society's moral view, for instance, that murder isn't right however the presentation of same-sex relationships is seen by certain individuals as morally off-base and society is isolated.

She also discussed that law and morality are regularly founded on a proposed association between the two, in that law is portrayed as encapsulating the dominant part's thoughts of

## **Buy Essay Online At MyPremiumEssay**

what is good and bad. Even though it is conceivable that an overall moral idea of what is correct can seemingly be said to exist in the public eye, whether it very well may be implemented in the private existences of people is another matter. Whether it can likewise settle touchy discussions about what is good and bad in hard cases is additionally dubious. Legal and moral standards utilize comparable language: they recognize good and bad, and they talk about obligations, commitments, and duties. For instance, murder is viewed as underhanded under both the legal and moral sets of accepted rules; guardians have a legal obligation and a moral obligation to guarantee that their little youngsters are furnished with haven and sustenance.

She was also very vocal and intimidating about her way of handling any stressful, legal matter. She stated that whenever she is facing a legal issue, she first deep look into the issue that what is it about, and what practices of law are best suited to solve the issue. In dealing with stressful situations, like in very challenging trials, she prepares herself to cop-up with the challenging environment she is in. She believed that as far as involving myself in the broader legal community is concerned, teaching is probably the most expansive aspect of my career. In reconciling the conflict between personal beliefs and the case, she represents the clients and takes every possible option she has to defend them. In the end, regardless of what the result is, she is satisfied with the way she represented her clients and the case.

Overall, the interview was very effective and helped in understanding the struggles of becoming a legal professional, and the personal determination it takes to carry up this profession by virtue. The Interviewee clearly described that while being academically strong and dedicated throughout, she always focused on her weaknesses. This helped her evolved as a successful professional who is always ready to take on challenges.

## References

Alvarez, S. (2011). Constitutional Conflicts, Moral Dilemmas, and Legal Solutions. *Ratio Juris*, 24(1), 59-74.

Bowers, J., & Robinson, P. H. (2012). Perceptions of fairness and justice: The shared aims & occasional conflicts of legitimacy and moral credibility. *Wake Forest Law Review*, 47, 11-13.

